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1. Introduction

The test report is created by the Test Manager and contains information on the perceived quality results from the performed system and acceptance tests in each sprint, <https://github.com/snaekobbi/sprints>

1. Overview
   1. Test summary for Sprint\_4, WK40.4 – 43.4

The below table depicts the initially planned sprint features to be tested, relevant agencies to perform testing, agencies that actually performed testing, and the test results.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | DE | DK | FI | NL | NO | SE |
| 4.4:74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.4:75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.5:78 |  |  | 🞎 | 🞎 |  |  |
| 4.5:80 |  |  | 🞎 | 🞎 |  |  |
| 4.5:81 |  |  | 🞎 | 🞎 |  |  |
| 4.5:82 |  |  | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |  |
| 4.5:84 |  |  | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |  |
| 4.5:85 |  |  | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |  |
| 4.8:105 |  |  | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |  |
| 4.8:106 |  | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |  |
| 4.8:107 |  | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |  |
| 4.9:110 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| 4.9:111 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| 4.9:112 |  |  | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| 4.9:113 |  | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| 4.7:94 |  | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| 4.7:97 |  |  | 🞎 |  | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| 4.7:99 |  | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| 4.7:102 |  |  | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |  |
| 4.7:103 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |

* *Green/Red indicates a Pass/Fail test case verdict.*
  1. Test protocol

Link to the test protocol(s), all agencies: <https://github.com/snaekobbi/testing/tree/master/Test%20protocol/Sprint_4/All%20Agencies>

* 1. Approval criteria

The following criteria should have been reached in order to consider the system test complete:

* All planned test cases executed
* At least one iteration of defects corrected by the developers
* No critical defects open
* The testing has covered 100% of the requirements in scope
* There shall be a system test protocol written

1. Test Execution
   1. System Testing

The overall test participation was a low 52% with an average test success rate of 55%.

Based on the mentioned approval criteria in section 2.3, the system test is considered Incomplete.

* 1. Acceptance Testing

Acceptance tests were out of scope due to the limited test execution across agencies and the overall “incomplete” system test verdict.

1. Defects
   1. Found defects

The following defects were found during testing in Sprint\_4:

<https://huboard.com/snaekobbi/sprints#/?milestone=%5B%22sprint%234%22%5D>]

1. Test Manager Comments

The feature implementation scope (20 features) was bigger than first expected by the project team members despite being planned around feature groups and connected functionality. Testing was therefore initiated very late in the process.

Based on the project improvement meeting in Copenhagen on October 23rd, more clear action plans and a more business focused scope will be applied onwards, including implementing basic feature functionality first for further refinement of other feature group functions by the agencies.

Sprint planning will also include a time line including task delivery deadlines, software drop schedule etc. in order to enhance visibility and better balance expectations with the project team members.